

Urea-based Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) For HDDVs: Chemistry, Physics, And Toxicology of Emissions

Shaohua Hu, Jorn D. Herner, William Robertson, M.-C Oliver Chang, Tao Huai, John Collins, Harry Dwyer, and Alberto Ayala
California Air Resources Board

INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and nitro-PAHs include many toxic compounds which may be carcinogenic or mutagenic such as benzo(a) pyrene or 1-nitro-pyrene. The lighter PAHs (predominantly in vapor phase) are the most abundant in the urban atmosphere and may react with other pollutants to form more toxic derivatives. Motor vehicles are a significant contributor to ambient PAH emissions. The stringent PM and NO_x diesel emission standards force manufacturers to modify diesel engines and/or retrofit them with advanced emission control devices such as particle traps and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) technology. These aftertreatment devices have proven effective in reducing PM and NO_x but also change the physicochemical properties of diesel exhaust. It is expected that PAH and nitro-PAH profiles of diesel exhaust could be altered by the aftertreatment devices as well. However, this effect has not yet been fully investigated.

This project is a 4-year collaborative research effort focused on emerging issues relevant to air quality and the protection of health [1]. These issues include: 1). ultralow emissions from advanced aftertreatment technology, 2). effects on emissions of ultrafine and nucleation mode particles by various aftertreatment devices, 3). measurement instrumentation and protocols, and 4). the relative toxicity of PM components as a function of volatility.

In this study, four heavy-duty diesel vehicles (HDDV) of 1998 to 2007 vintage, operating with advanced PM and/or NO_x emissions control retrofits were tested on a heavy-duty chassis dynamometer located at ARB's Heavy-duty Diesel Emissions Test Laboratory (HDETL) in Los Angeles. The emissions control retrofits included four diesel particulate filters (DPF), catalyzed and un-catalyzed, and two prototype SCR systems. The combination of DPF and SCR technologies are of particular interest because they may represent the future approach for simultaneous control of PM and NO_x.

METHOD

Teflon coated glass fiber filter in series with XAD adsorbent was used to collect PM and vapor-phase pollutants respectively for the analysis of semi-volatile PAHs, volatile PAHs, and nitro-PAHs. One challenge in analyzing PAHs and especially nitro-PAHs is the low mass emissions of these species and the laboratory analytical detection limits. Vapor phase PAHs were analyzed using 5-point calibration curves with the isotope dilution standard method [2]. For nitro- and dinitro-PAH analysis, deuterated internal standards 2-nitrodiethyl-d9 and 1-nitropyrene-d9 were added to the filters, and the filters were then extracted with dichloromethane using the Dionex ASE300 followed by acetone extraction. The extracts were further pre-cleaned by the solid-phase extraction technique and semi-preparative normal-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) technique (Waters). The fraction corresponding to nitro- and dinitro-PAH was collected and analyzed by negative ion chemical ionization (NICI) gas chromatography – mass spectrometry (GC/MS) [3].

Research Team



Figure 1 – Tested Vehicles and Naming Convention



Veh#1 – Class 8 tractor. Tested as a baseline, with a JM CRT®, SCR* (vanadium and zeolite). Baseline, DPFI, DPFI+SCR1, DPFI+SCR2



Veh#2 – CalTrans Truck with Engelhard DPX (Catalyzed DPF). DPFI



Veh#3 – School Bus with a Cleaire Horizon electrically regenerated Trap. DPFI



Veh#4 – Diesel Hybrid Electric Bus with JM CRT®. Cruise and Idle not tested. DPFI

* Prototype systems, not commercial units

Figure 2 – CARB HDETL





Figure 3 – TEM Image of diesel particles from baseline and retrofit collected on fibrous filters



* Filter Sample Micrographs Courtesy of D. Su, Fritz-Haber Institute

RESULTS

Figure 3 shows the TEM image of the diesel particles from the Baseline and DPFI+SCR1 vehicles collected on fibrous filters. Particles from the Baseline are agglomerates. Those agglomerates are barely seen in the sample from DPFI+SCR1.

Figure 4 shows the volatile and particle phase PAH emissions from the Baseline diesel truck without emission controls. The volatile PAHs account for 98% of the total PAHs (volatile + particulate phase), and the light molecular weight (MW) PAHs, 2- and 3-ring, dominates the volatile PAHs. Naphthalene accounts for 80% of the volatile PAHs.

Figure 5 shows the sum of the volatile and particulate PAHs from the retrofits during cruise cycle. The retrofits reduce both particle and vapor phase PAHs by more than 90%. The retrofits reduce particle phase PAHs by more than 95%, independent of the driving cycle and catalytic loadings, which implies that reduction of particle PAHs is by direct removal in the trap. The uncatalyzed DPF was less efficient in reducing the volatile PAHs compared to the catalyzed DPFs (Figure 6).

Figure 7 shows selected nitro-PAHs from the test vehicles. Results demonstrate that SCR did not promote formation of nitro-PAHs. DPFI and DPFI3 show significant reduction of 1-nitropyrene but slightly increase of 3-nitrophenanthrene.

Figure 4 – Individual volatile and particle phase PAHs emissions from Baseline




Figure 5 – Total (volatile + particle phase) PAHs emissions from Baseline and retrofits.




Figure 6 – Selected volatile PAHs emissions.





Figure 7 – Selected nitro-PAHs emissions.



SUMMARY

Retrofits reduce total PAHs (particle and vapor phase) by more than 90%. The particle phase PAH reduction are independent of the catalytic surface and driving conditions. However, vapor phase PAHs are highly affected by catalytic loadings and exhaust temperature [1]. With a few exceptions, most of the samples from retrofitted engines do not contain nitro-PAHs. The 1-nitro-pyrene is the most dominant nitro-PAH. The engine without retrofits shows one order of magnitude higher emissions of 1-nitro-pyrene than engines with uncatalyzed DPF and catalyzed DPF. The uncatalyzed DPF shows higher emission of 3-nitrophenanthrene than the baseline. The two prototype SCR did not promote the nitration of PAHs. Significant reduction of 1-nitropyrene, a recognized carcinogen, suggests direct benefit of DPF for cancer risk reduction.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Dr. Harish Phuleria, Dr. Michael Geller, Dr. Constantinos Sioutas, Dr. Ning Zhi, Vishal Verma, Dr. Subhasis Biswas, Ralph Rodas, George Gatt, and Keshav Sahay. We are grateful to the retrofit device makers, JMI, Cleaire and Engelhard, whose technologies made this investigation possible.

Reference

- Herne et al., *Environ Sci Technol* 2009, 43, (15), 5928-5933.
- Kado, N., et al., *Environ Sci Technol* 2005, 39, 7638-7649.
- Zielinska et al., *Atmos. Environ.*, 1989, 23, 223-229.

Disclaimer The statements and opinions expressed here are solely the authors' and do not represent the official position of the California Air Resources Board. The mention of trade names, products, and organizations does not constitute endorsement.